## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of America v.  LAWRENCE BRANCH  Date of Previous Judgment: 5//21/2003 (Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)  Order Regarding Motion for Sentence R	) Case No: 1:02CR00086-001 ) USM No: 18144-058 ) Andrew Banzhoff ) Defendant's Attorney  Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)
Upon motion of ■ the defendant □ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons □ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,	
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:  ■ DENIED. □ GRANTED and the defendant's the last judgment issued) of	s previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in months is reduced to
Previous Offense Level: 34 Criminal History Category: VI Previous Guideline Range: 262 to 327 months	Amended Offense Level: Criminal History Category: Amended Guideline Range:  24  VI  262  to 327  months
<ul> <li>II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE</li> <li>□ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.</li> <li>□ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.</li> <li>■ Other (explain): No reduction as there is no change in the guideline calculations due to the defendant's classification as a Career Offender.</li> </ul>	
III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS	
Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated 5//21/2003 shall remain in effect.  IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Order Date: May 26, 2009	MAL
Effective Date:	Lacy H. Thornburg United States District Judge